

FILED

MAY 15 1990

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY DB TV ERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DESIGNATION OF CIVIL)
DUTIES TO UNITED STATES) GENERAL ORDER NO. 262
MAGISTRATES IN SACRAMENTO)
_____)

In light of the appointment of a third full-time magistrate for Sacramento, the court assigns and designates the magistrate's civil caseload as follows:

1. In addition to the matters enumerated in Local Rule 302, the full-time magistrates in Sacramento are designated to exercise all powers described in 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) in all petitions for post-trial relief made by individuals convicted of criminal offenses and all prisoner petitions challenging conditions of confinement.


2. The Clerk shall assign to Magistrate Mix all actions brought under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), 1383(c)(3) and 1395ff to review a final decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and shall assign to Magistrate Moulds and Magistrate Hollows all petitions for post-trial relief made by individuals convicted of criminal offenses, and all prisoner petitions challenging conditions of confinement.


3. All other civil cases shall be assigned randomly by the clerk of court among the magistrates. As described more fully in Local Rules 302 et seq., both magistrates are designated in all civil cases to exercise all powers authorized in Title 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(A) and are also designated to hear and enter judgment in jury or non-jury civil cases upon the consent of the parties and to otherwise exercise all powers authorized by Title U.S.C. § 636(c).

4. Nothing in this order shall be construed to limit the power of an individual judge to designate any magistrate, on a case by case basis, to perform further duties or exercise additional powers described in subsections (b)(1)(B), (b)(2) or (b)(3) of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636 in cases where such designation has not already been made by this order.

5. The magistrates may reassign cases among themselves as they deem appropriate to the just, efficient and economical determination of the business of the Court.

This General Order is effective March 7, 1990.


ROBERT E. COYLE, Chief
U.S. District Judge


LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
U.S. District Judge


EDWARD J. GARCIA
U.S. District Judge